

(Defⁿ.) Analytic, Holomorphic and Regular Functions

A single valued function $f(z)$ is said to be analytic in a domain D is said to be analytic or holomorphic in D .

If a function $f(z)$ is analytic at some point in every n 'hood of point z_0 , except at the point z_0 then the point z_0 is known as an isolated singularity. A function $f(z)$ is said to have removable singularity at a point z_0 of the range of definition of f . If the function $f(z)$ is not differentiable at z_0 but can be made analytic by merely a single suitable value of the function at z_0 .

Sufficient Condition for $f(z)$ to be analytic.

Q No \rightarrow State and Prove the Sufficient Condition for $f(z)$ to be analytic.

M.V.93

Q No \rightarrow obtain a set of sufficient conditions for the function $f(z) = u + iv$ to be analytic in a given domain.

Ans. Statement: - The continuous one-valued function $f(z)$ is analytic in a domain D if the four partial derivatives u_x, v_x, u_y, v_y exist and are continuous and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at each point of D .

Proof: - Since, $u = u(x, y)$, we have

$$u + \Delta u = u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y),$$

$$\therefore \Delta u = u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - u(x, y)$$

$$= \{u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - u(x + \Delta x, y)\}$$

$$+ u(x + \Delta x, y) - u(x, y)$$

$$= \Delta y u_y(x + \Delta x, y + \theta \Delta y) + \Delta x u_x(x + \theta' \Delta x, y)$$

$$\text{where } 0 < \theta < 1 \text{ \& } 0 < \theta' < 1. \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

By the mean value theorem.

Since, u_x & u_y are continuous in the given region for a given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$, such that

$$|u_y(x + \Delta x, y + \theta \Delta y) - u_y(x, y)| < \epsilon$$

$$\text{and, } |u_x(x + \theta' \Delta x, y) - u_x(x, y)| < \epsilon$$

$$\text{For } |\Delta x| < \delta, |\Delta y| < \delta.$$

$$\text{Let } u_y(x + \Delta x, y + \theta \Delta y) - u_y(x, y) = \alpha$$

$$\text{and } u_x(x + \theta' \Delta x, y) - u_x(x, y) = \beta.$$

Then, we have from (1),

$$\Delta u = [u_y(x, y) + \alpha] \Delta y + [u_x(x, y) + \beta] \Delta x$$

Similarly, it can be shown that,

$$\Delta v = [v_y(x, y) + \alpha'] \Delta y + [v_x(x, y) + \beta'] \Delta x$$

where, $|\alpha'| < \epsilon'$ and $|\beta'| < \epsilon'$.

Let the given function $w = f(z) = u + iv$

$$\therefore w + \Delta w = f(z + \Delta z) = (u + \Delta u) + i(v + \Delta v)$$

$$\therefore \Delta w = f(z + \Delta z) - f(z) = \Delta u + i \Delta v$$

$$\text{Hence, } \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta z} = \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{\Delta u + i \Delta v}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}$$

$$= \frac{[u_y(x, y) + \alpha] \Delta y + [u_x(x, y) + \beta] \Delta x + i [v_y(x, y) + \alpha'] \Delta y + i [v_x(x, y) + \beta'] \Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}$$

$$= \frac{[u_y \Delta y + u_x \Delta x + \alpha \Delta y + \beta \Delta x] + i [v_y \Delta y + v_x \Delta x + \alpha' \Delta y + \beta' \Delta x]}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}$$

$$= \frac{-v_x \Delta y + u_x \Delta x + \dots}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}$$

$$= \frac{[-v_x(x, y) + \alpha] \Delta y + [u_x(x, y) + \beta] \Delta x + i [u_x(x, y) + \alpha'] \Delta y + i [v_x(x, y) + \beta'] \Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}$$

$$= \frac{u_x + i v_x + \alpha \Delta y + \beta \Delta x + i \alpha' \Delta y + i \beta' \Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y} \quad [\because u_{xx} = v_y \text{ \& } u_y = -v_x]$$

$$\therefore \left| \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta z} - (u_x + i v_x) \right| = \left| \frac{(\alpha + i \alpha') \Delta y + (\beta + i \beta') \Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{|\alpha + i \alpha'| |\Delta y| + |\beta + i \beta'| |\Delta x|}{|\Delta x + i \Delta y|}$$

$$\leq |\alpha + i \alpha'| + |\beta + i \beta'| \quad \because |\Delta y| \leq |\Delta x + i \Delta y|$$

$$\text{\& } |\Delta x| \leq |\Delta x + i \Delta y|$$

$$\leq |\alpha| + |i\alpha'| + |\beta| + |i\beta'|$$

$$= |\alpha| + |\alpha'| + |\beta| + |\beta'| < \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon' + \epsilon' = 2(\epsilon + \epsilon')$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta z} = u_x + i v_x$$

Therefore, $f(z)$ is differentiable and $f'(z) = u_x + i v_x$.

Q No \rightarrow Prove that the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function satisfy Laplace's differential Equation.

Proof:- We know that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (1) is the Laplace}$$

differential equation,

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x} = 0$$

Hence, u satisfies (1)

$$\text{Again, } \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x} = 0$$

That is v satisfies (1), Hence the real & imaginary part of an analytic function satisfy Laplace's differential equation.

Exam

Q No \rightarrow If $w = f(z) = u + iv$ be an analytic function of $z = x + iy$, show that the curves $u = \text{const}$ & $v = \text{const}$ represented on the z -plane intersect at right angles.

Soln. Since, $f(z) = u + iv$ is regular function of z , the functions u & v will satisfy Cauchy - Riemann Equations,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

Multiplying these, we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

Which is the condition that the curves $u = \text{const}$ & $v = \text{const}$ intersect at right angles as shown above.

Hence if $f(z)$ is regular function of z , then the curves

$$u = \text{Re}[f(z)] = \text{const}$$

$$\text{and, } v = \text{Im}[f(z)] = \text{const}$$

form an orthogonal system i.e. they intersect at right angles.